Finance (1934) Department.

SERIES.

G.O. No. Fin 1931 P.H.

DATED 13. 8. 43

[Despatch Abstract.]

Pension - Delay in disposal - Sri B. Narasimhalu, late 1st Grade Constable No.138 of the Korapur District Police - Papers Recorded.

Medical Institutions - rural medical practitioner, Bajpe, S.Kanara dist Epidemic duty at Bala- additional remuneration - orders passed.

Ref. No 586/43. Dy P.H. Post Raipur, S. Kanara

Dated 22nd Nov. 1943.

From

Sri. M. Rangappa Kamath, L.M.P.
Regd. Medical Practitioner
Rural Dispensary. Post Raipur, S.K.

To

The Adviser To His Excellency The Governor,
Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

pp 21-22 CF (I)

26 NOV 1943
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT
26 NOV 1943
SECRETARIAT BRANCH
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
39392

References:- (i) G.O. No. Ms. 1931 P.H. d/ 13-9-1943.
pp 1-3 of (I) (ii) Mg petition d/ 12th April 1943 to the Adviser to His Excellency the Governor.
p3 mfm (iii) Proceedings D. Dis. 16849-43 d/ 27-8-43 of the President, Dt. Board, South Kanara
ps mfm (iv) Memorandum L. Dis. 20469-43, d/- 18-10-43 of the President, Dt. Board, S.K.

I beg to represent as follows:-

pp 1-3 of (I) 2. In my petition dated 12th April 1943 to the Adviser to His Excellency the Governor, in para 5, I stated
p 2 of (I) that a sum of Rs. 1-12-0 was spent by me for cart-hire
pp 2-3 ibid & cooly for attending to inoculation work in Bala village and in para 8 of that petition, I requested that the Government may be pleased to sanction the actual amount spent by me.

p3 mfm 3. In proceedings D. Dis. 16849-43 dated 27-8-43 of the President, Dt. Board (copy enclosed) a remuneration of Rs. 1-12-0 was sanctioned instead of Re. 1-0-0 already sanctioned and was informed that I may send a contingent bill for the balance of annas twelve being the balance of the remuneration.

4. In this I submitted again to the President, Dt. Board,
p3 of (P) that under clause 9 of the agreement prescribed for rural medical practitioners, a rural medical practitioner is anyhow entitled to a minimum of Rupee one per day as remuneration for inoculation work; and my specific representation to the Govt. was to the effect that the actual travelling expenses incurred for that journey i.e. Rs. 1-12-0 may kindly be sanctioned in view of the anamoly mentioned in my representation.

5. In this connection I have to submit that, if only ~~a total remuneration~~ of Rs. 1-12-0 is to be allowed for that days' work, the bare travelling expenses will be met and half a days' work had to be sacrificed in vain & fees unrewarded

6. The intention of the Govt. in allowing a
clause (9) & p3 of (P) minimum of Re. 1-0-0 per day as remuneration for inoculation work was, I presume, to compensate for loss of private practice a rural medical practitioner has to forego, as he is only paid a subsidy out of which nearly half goes away for the maintenance charges of the rural Dispensary such as rent & servant, and he has mainly to depend on private practice for his daily maintenance.

7. In memorandum L. Dis. 20469-43 d/ 18-10-1943 the

the President, District Board, S. Kanara (copy enclosed) suggests that I may get a further ruling from the Government and in view of this I request that the Govt. may kindly give a definite ruling whether I am entitled to the minimum inoculation fee of rupee one for my services, in addition to the cart-hire & cooley charges of Rs. 1-12-0 incurred by me for that work on 29-10-42 to go to Bala village.

8. I also pray that the Govt. may be pleased to give a definite ruling as to how the rural medical practitioners are to act when asked to do inoculation work in distant villages where there is no bus communication and the track difficult to cover as cases of this nature are likely to occur again & again. Here I may submit that it will be a positive hardship & annoyance, if a rural medical practitioner has to walk five miles to & 5 miles fro for inoculation work & is to be paid a minimum of one rupee per day which even a cooley easily makes in these days.

Your obed. servant,
Seegovalkanata.
22/11.
Regd. Medical Practitioner
Rural Dispensary, Bajpe.

Enclosures two:-
i) D. Dis. 16849-43 dated 27-8-1943 of the President Dt. Board, S. Kanara.
ii) L. Dis. 20469-43 dated 18-10-43 of the President Dt. Board, S. Kanara.

Proceedings of the President, District Board, South Kanara,

Sri A.Lakshminarayana Rao, B.A., B.L.

....

D.Dis.16849-43. Dated 27-8-1943.

Subject:- Medical Institution Rural Medical Practitioner Bajpe - Payment of remuneration for the epidemic duty at Bala for 29-10-43 - Previous orders revised.

Reference:- 1. G.O.No1Ms.1931 P.H., dated 13-9-1943.
2. This office D.Dis.25689-42 dated 12-2-1943.

....

In modification of this office proceedings D.Dis.25689-42 dated 12-2-1943, Sri M.Rangappa Kamath Rural Medical Practitioner Bajpe will be paid remuneration of Rs.(1-12-0) one and annas twelve only for 29-10-42, he was on epidemic duty at Bala village instead of rupee one only sanctioned in this office proceedings quoted above.

2. The Rural Medical Practitioner will send a contingent bill and a receipt for annas twelve only being the balance remuneratic due to him (through the District Health Officer South Kanara)

Sd.M.Sunder Rau,
For President.

/True copy forwarded/
By Order.

Superintendent.

To
The Rural Medical Practitioner Bajpe
Copy to the District Health Officer, South Kanara.
Copy to B5.
IAK.2/9-

Memorandum of the President, District Board, South Kanara,
Sri A.Lakshminarayana Rao, B.A., B.L.

....

L.Dis.20469-43. Dated 18-10-43.

Subject:- Remunerative & T.A.Claim of Rural Medical Practitioner Bajpe for ~~exp~~ epidemic duty - Reply sent.

With reference to his Ref.72/43 dated 5-10-43 the Rural Medical Practitioner Bajpe is informed that in view of the definite orders of Government (G.O.No.Ms.1931 P.H., dated 13-8-43) that the Rural Medical Practitioner is not eligible for any travelling allowance and that he may be paid a remuneration of Rs.(1-12-0) one and annas twelve only for the day he was on epidemic duty at Bela, his claim for rupee one in addition to the remuneration is not admissible. If he is still aggrieved, he may move Government and get a further ruling.

Sd.M.Sunder Rau,
For President.

/True copy forwarded/
By Order.

SUPERINTENDENT.

To
The Rural Medical Practitioner, Bajpe.
IAK.30.10.43..

Edn. & P.H. Dept. (D1)

Memo No. 39392 D1/43. 1 PH 8 7. 12 1943

C.No. 39392 D1/43

From Sri M. Rangappa Kamath, Rural Medical Practitioner, Bajpe, South Kanara District, petition dated 22--11--1943.

Medical institutions - South Kanara District - Rural Medical Practitioner, Bajpe - Epidemic duty at Bala - Payment of additional remuneration.

The attention of the President, District Board, South Kanara is invited to G.O.No. 1931 P.H., dated 13th August 1943 and he is informed that the intention of the Government was to allow the rural medical practitioner, Bajpe, the actual expenditure incurred by him on the to and fro journeys between a Bajpe and Bala, as there is no specific provision in the agreement for payment of travelling allowance for journeys performed by a rural medical practitioner within five miles of his headquarters. The orders do not, however preclude the payment of the fee of Re. 1/- to which the rural medical practitioner is entitled under clause (9) of the agreemen for the inoculation work done by him at Bala. The same procedure may be followed if the rural medical practitioner is asked to do

inoculation work within five miles of his headquarters.

2. The rural medical practitioner is informed that he should address the Government only through the proper channel.

He has addressed vide p 1 ¶.
(This is sometimes done up)

To

The P.B.B., South Kanara
Copy to Sri M. Rangappa Kamath
Rural Medical Practitioner,
Bajpe, South Kanara Dt.

3.12.43.

30/11/43.
1-12-43

E&P.H.

From

Sri M. Rangappa Kamath, L.M.P.
Regd. Medical Practitioner in charge
of Rural Dispensary, Post Baipe
South Kanara. Dated 12-4-1943.



The Adviser, To His Excellency The Governor
Education & Public Health Department
Fort St. George, Madras.

6336(S) Thro'

The President, The Provincial Rural
Medical Practitioners Association
Madras.

Sir,

Subject:- Rural Medical Practitioners -
Inoculation work - Travelling
allowance for journeys of 5 miles
and under.

I beg to represent as follows:-

2. I was asked by the Health Inspector, Mangalore to do Anti-typhoid inoculation work in Bala, Tenkayadapadavu & Kolambe villages - a distance of 5, 7¼ & 5½ miles respectively from my dispensary, and I attended to that work on 29-10-42, 1-11-42 & 3-11-42 respectively.

L(B) No. 1 GoM 2111 Pt 9-7-42

3. Remuneration of Rupee one per day was allowed by the President, District Board, South Kanara for the inoculation work done on those three days; and as the distance travelled was less than ~~(5 or 6)~~ twenty miles per day, daily allowance at Re. 1-2-0 per day was allowed for the journeys for the two latter villages, viz - Tenkayadapadavu & Kolambe, instead of travelling allowance.

4. I submit that for work done in Bala village on 29-10-42, neither travelling allowance nor the daily allowance was sanctioned, presumably that under clause (9) of the agreement prescribed for rural practi-tioners, T.A. is permissible only for journeys beyond 5 miles. It is only 5 miles to that village and there is no bus service on the unfrequented road. Four miles have to be covered in a country bullock cart

[another

another mile by walking.

5. I submit that for the journey to Bala village that day I had to engage a country bullock cart and had to pay Rs.1-8-0 by way of cart-hire for the to & fro journey, and I had to pay 0-4-0 for a servant taken with me to lead me along the way and to carry my bag for the distance un-covered by cart. In all I had to spend that day Rs. 1-12-0 and had to sacrifice half-a-day. Even a health inspector has a peon, whereas the rural medical practitioners have to engage a servant.

6. I beg to submit that there is an anomaly difficult to appreciate, where a rural medical practitioner can claim T.A. or D.A. for a journey by bus for six miles though in fact the time taken is shorter, but where neither of it is permitted for a journey for 5 miles on a road where only a bullock cart can go and where higher rates are given by way of cart-hire and the time taken to do the same kind of work is greater and the journey is more tedious.

7. I represented this to the President, District Board, South Kanara (copy of my representation is herewith submitted) and the President was pleased to say that I can move the matter in the meeting of the Provincial Rural Medical Practitioners Association and hence this representation.

8. I understand that the Government are pleased to sanction the actual expenses incurred by an officer in the discharge of a public duty in cases where neither T.A. or D.A. is permissible according to the existing Travelling Allowance rules and as

[I believe

I believe my representation comes under such a category, I request that our Government may be pleased to sanction the actual expenses incurred by me supported by vouchers for the journey to Bala on 29-10-1942 for attending to a public duty, viz. inoculation work.

Your most obed. servant,
[illegible]
Regd. Medical Practitioner.

Ref. No. 21/43.

Rural Dispensary.
Baipe, 1-3-1943

To

The President,
District Board, South Kanara
Mangalore.

Sir,

References:- (i) your D. Dis. No. 25689/1942 dated 12-2-1943 page 7 infra
(A) ii) Cj. O. No. 2111 P. H. dt/9-7-1942.

I beg to report that in your above quoted reference neither the Daily allowance nor the T. A. has been sanctioned for my journey to Bala village & back on 29-10-1942 for Dou inoculation work, evidently basing on the above quoted Cj. O.

In this connection, I have to submit as follows:- To go to the required house for inoculation in that village it is four miles journey by cart, as there is no bus service on that road, and about a mile has to be covered by foot over hills & through dales To go there & return back I had to pay Rs1-8- for cart-hire for 8 miles and to carry my bag to the uncovered distance & to lead me to the particular house, as I am not acquainted with that ways, I had to engage & take a coolie from here with me to whom I had to pay annas four (0-4-0). In all I had to incur Rs 1-12-0 that days for which I have vouchers

I am only given an inoculation fee of one rupee for my services for which I had to spend one whole afternoon thus foregoing my practice. Unlike in some of the eastern districts where in villages houses are congregated together, in our District they are scattered far & between in a village & it is difficult to assess the Distance travelled In short, for attending to public health work that does it looks as if I am to be penalised by having to pay the expenses incurred for the journey from my pocket I have already mentioned the difficulties

Experienced

experienced in my letter NO. 63/42 dated 5-11-1942. Even a health inspector has a peon.

I understand that in such instances where D.A. is not admissible or the daily allowance not permissible under the existing rules, the Govt. are pleased to sanction the actual expenses incurred by an officer (Govt servant) in the discharge of a public duty. Ms 446/1936 M.T.A. rules (A)

Evidently these difficulties were not contemplated when the above quoted G.O. was passed. It is an anomaly difficult to appreciate, where for a journey covered by bus, says for 6 miles, T.A. or D.A. is allowed though in fact the time taken is shorter, whereas neither of it is permitted for a journey for 5 miles on a road where only a bullock cart can go and where higher rates are given by ways of cart-hire & the time taken to do the same ~~(illegible)~~ kind of work is greater & the journey more tedious.

As questions of this nature are likely to arise again & again hereafter & as this is a matter of general public importance, I request you will be pleased to move the Govt. in the light of my representation & get their sanction for payment of actual travelling expenses.

Your obed. Servant,

Medical Practitioner.

Proceedings of the President, District Board, South Kanara.

Sri K. Vittal Shetty.

D.Dis.No. 25689/1942 Dated 12-2-43.

Subject:- Public Health - epidemic duty - payment of remuneration to Rural Medical Practitioner Bajape - sanctioned.

Reference:-1. letter ~~Reference~~ 63/42, dated 5-11-1942 of the Rural Medical Practitioner, Bajape.
2. District Health Officer's N.Dis. 4655/42, dated 24-12-1942.
(A) 3. G.O.No.2111 P.H., dated 9-7-1942.

The Rural Medical Practitioner, Bajape attended to inoculation work in the villages of Bala, Tenka-Yadapadav and Kolambe on 29-10-42, 1-11-42 and 3-11-42 respectively and inoculated 7, 24 and 7 persons in each village at the request of the Health Inspector, Mangalore Range when Typhoid was in an epidemic form. Under clause (9) of the agreement referred to in Government Order No. 2111 Public Health/9-7-42 the Rural Medical Practitioner is eligible for a minimum remuneration of rupee one per day for the above 3 days and for T.A. for his journey to Tenka-Yedapadvu and Kolambe on the 1st and 3rd November, 1942 at Rs.1/2/- (daily allowance, per day as the distance travelled to these places is beyond five miles. Hence the remuneration Rs.(3/-) three and travelling allowance (daily allowance) Rs.(2/4/-, two and annas four on to the Rural Medical Practitioner, Bajape is sanctioned. The Rural Medical Practitioner will claim the above amount in contingent and T.A. bills respectively in duplicate.

Sd/- M.Sunder Rau,
For President.

The Rural Medical Practitioner, Bajape.
Copy to the District Health Officer, South Kanara.
Copy to B5.

/true copy forwarded/
(BY ORDER)

Superintendent.

CR/16/2/43.

Memorandum of the President, District Board, South Kanara.

Sri K.Vittal Shetty.

L.Dis. 5114/A4/43, dated 11-3-43.

Subject:- Allowance - Payment for journey within 5 miles for Public Health work - Endorsement issued.

With reference to His Ref. 21/43 dated 1-3-43 the Rural Medical Practitioner, Bajape is informed that the payment of T.A. to him for Public Health work is regulated as per clause (9) of the agreement. He can move the matter at the meeting of their Provincial Association if he is aggrieved.

(sd)M.Sunder Rao
For President.

To

The Rural Medical Practitioner, Bajape.

TRUE COPY FORWARDED
(BY ORDER)

SUPERINTENDENT.

DJK/15-3.43.

Forwarded to Dr Ambedkar Rao

for necessary action

This is a file to be placed before the P.C

and a resolution on the subject submitted

to Government. You may kindly apply

to the RHP concerned for

the matter is awaiting your attention

Yours

Dr Ambedkar

Telegrams : "KAMATH, Madras, Vepery." Telephone: 2782.

The Madras Provincial Subsidized Rural Medical Practitioners' Association.

OFFICE:
~~147 PURASAWALKAM HIGH ROAD~~
~~VEPERY MADRAS~~

Dated, 14th May 1943.

THAMBRAHALLI.
BELLARY District.

From
The General Secretary

To
The Honuorable the Adviser to His Excellancy The Governor of Madras

Education and Public Health Departments

Fort St George Madras.

Subject:- Medical Department- Subsidised Rural Medical Practitioner Payment of travelling allowance- Journeys within 5 miles- Public Health work-Petition of Mr. M.Rangappa Kamath- Bajpe rural dispensary,S.Kanara Dt.- Change of clause 9(1) of the agreement prayed for.

Sir,

Herewith is a petition of Mr. M.Rangappa rural medical practitioner Bajpe, South Kanara Dt. Clause(9)of the agreement does not provide for the payment of travelling allowance for journeys within 5 miles. Ordinarily the rural medical practitioner will be asked to do public health work in villages within 5 miles radius of his dispensary. That means that he shall have to do that work by meeting his journey expenses from his pocket always. This works as a great hardship. Moreover, as the petitioner explains, journeys within 5 miles when there are no good roads and buses plying are more tedious and more expensive and more difficult than journeys of more than 5 miles or even 20 miles if conveyances are available. Therefore it is prayed that the clause(9) of the agreement be amended so as to provide for the payment of at least actual expenses for journeys within 5 miles for a rural medical practitioner who undertakes Public Health work during epidemics. A practitioner who is doing Public Health work regularly and is in receipt of the remuneration of Rs 15 p.m has no grievance in thid regard.

As this is a question affecting all the rural medical practitioners, this practitioner has sumitted his petition through the Association and the same has been now submitted with the views of the Association. The Provincial Committee which met at Madras on 8-5-43 has passed a resolution in this regard and representation will be made separately. It is prayed that orders will be issued and the grievance redressed.

Your most obedient s

M Krishna
General

DJ

2 W.H. Deptt (D-I) 15

C.No. 135570/43
M1 24
& IICF

From Sri M. Rangeppa Kamath, R.M.P., Bajpe, S. Kanara, petition dated 12.5.43
Endorsement of the Secretary, the Madras Provincial Subsidized R.M.P's Association, Ltr. dt. 14.5.1943

Medical institutions - Rural medical practitioners on epidemic duty - Payment of T.A. for journeys within 5 miles of headquarters - Amendment of clause (9) of the Agreement Drawn.

Memo No 135570/43-I PH dated 2 D/5/1943

To Referred to the ~~Surgeon General~~ D.P.H. for remarks.
24/5/43

D A H

To the ~~Surgeon General~~
(with enclosures) for information
Letter to be sent

14
(b) which should be submitted through

the S.G.

WP

24/5/63

24/5/63

C.F. 32 (a).

Medical Department

From (Name) Lt. Col. G.R.McRobert, CIE., MD., FRCP., DTM.&H., IMS.,
Designation Surgeon-General with the Govt. of Madras, Madras.

To Station
The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department
Madras.

Enclosures:-

16 JUN 1943

16188

Ref. No. 34010-2-H.
D/- 14th June 1943.

Sir,

Subject:- Medical institutions - Rural Medical Practitioners on epidemic duty - payment of travelling allowance for journeys within five miles of headquarters - Amendment of clause (9) of the agreement forms -

---

Ref:- Government Memo. No. 13557-D.1/43-1 P.H., dated 28th May 1943. Forgot 13-14 ante

The reply of the Director of Public Health, Madras, with reference to the above Memo: has not yet been received. He has

p.t.o.

been reminded in the matter.
I will submit my remarks as soon as his reply is received in my office.

for Surgeon-General with the Govt. of Madras.

pvs/14-6.

E/S A&H Dept (DU)

A reminder may be issued on 15-7-43 if necessary.

18-6-43

[9.6.43]

L.Dis.No.495-2/PQ.- 1633 Office of the Director of Public Health,
Madras. Dated 30th June 1943.

From

Rao Bahadur Dr. R. Adiseshan, Dip. Hyg. (Camb.)
Director of Public Health, Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department, through the Surgeon-General,
Madras.

Sir,

Retransmitted. pp 1-12 ante

The payment of a daily allowance of Rs.3/- for epidemic work (including inoculation) within 5 miles plus Travelling Allowance for journeys if any beyond 5 miles for Rural Medical Practitioners who are not in receipt of the subsidy of Rs.15/- per mensem for doing Public Health work, seems reasonable.

for Director of Public Health,
Madras.

MV/30-6.



Office of the Surgeon-General
with the Government of Madras,
Madras, dated 8th July 1943.

Endorsement. 20473

Subject: Medical Institutions - Rural Medical Practitioners on epidemic duty - Payment of travelling allowance for journeys within five miles of headquarters - Amendment of clause (9) of the agreement form.

Reference: Letter L.Dis.No.495-2/P.Q. dated 30-6-43 of the Director of Public Health, Madras ~~dat~~ with enclosures. page 17 ante

---

Forwarded.

I agree with the remarks of the Director of Public Health

Health, Madras contained in the above letter.

for Surgeon-General with the Govt. of Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department.
Madras.

Edn & P.H. Deptt (D)

K.N. 8-7. The D.P.H suggests in para 17 ante certain alterations in the rates referred to in clause (a) of para 3 (A) the agreement. We do not agree with him. Before para 19 ante examining the suggestion, it is necessary to know how the existing rates were fixed. Necessary papers are being called for. This may lie over till 19-7-43

We 13-7-43

WS 16.7.43

C.-P.H. Deptt (D-1)

Cno 13527 D1/43
Mp 1-3 F

Cno 20473 D1/43
1N 17 F
1' · 2 ·

From Sri M. Rangappa Kamath, Rural Medical Practitioner, Bajpe, (S. Kamath) petition dated 12-4-1943
Endorsement of the Secretary, The Provincial Subsidized Rural Medical Practitioners' Association, dated 14-5-4
From the Director of Public Health, L.Dis. 495-2/PQ dated 30-6-1943
Endorsement of the Surgeon General, 34010-H, dated 8-7-1943

Medical institutions - Rural medical practitioners - Epidemic duty - Journeys within five miles of dispensaries - Payment of travelling allowance - Amendment to clause (9).

The rural medical practitioner, Bajpe, was asked to attend to inoculation work when typhoi broke out in an epidemic form in the villages of Bala, Jenkayadapadavani and Kolambe. The villages are 6, 7¼ and 5½ miles respectively from Bajpe and the R.M.P. visited the places on three days viz 29-10-1942, 1-11-1942 and 3-11-1942. He was paid ~~daily~~ remuneration allowance at the rate of Re 1/- a day for the three days. Travelling allowance as per clause (9) of the amendment was also paid for the visits to Jenkayadapadavani and Kolambe. He was refused ~~in the case of~~ for the journey to Bala on the ground that that village is only 5 miles from Bajpe. He requests that since he has spent Rs. 1-12-0 i.e. above the ~~daily~~ allowance of Re 1/- granted to him, he may be allowed at least the actual amount spent by him during this journey as provided for to Govt. servants in rule 44(b) of the M.T.A Rules. The Secretary of the Provincial Subsidized R.M.P's Association through whom this petition

has been submitted recommends that clause (9) of the agreement forms should be amended so as to include cases in which r. m. ps are deputed for inoculation or epidemic duty in villages within five miles of the headquarters.

2. The Director of Public Health recommends the payment of a daily allowance of Rs. 3/- to r. m. ps deputed for epidemic work (including inoculation) within 5 miles from their headquarters plus travelling allowance for journeys beyond 5 miles to medical practitioners ~~who are deputed for epidemic duty but~~ are not in receipt of the remuneration of Rs 15/- per mensem allowed to those entrusted with Public Health work. The Surgeon General has agreed with the D. P. H. The D. P. H. has not however made any remarks on the proposal (under consideration) ie. payment of actual travelling allowances to r. m. ps who are deputed for p.h. work within five miles of headquarters. In June 1927, the Director of Public Health suggested that rural medical practitioners should be remunerated for doing public health work. The views of the then Surgeon General (Major General Hutchinson) are explained in his letter dated 22-2-28 No 447 PH/S 28-2-28. ~~to Govt dt 10-1-1927.~~ The SG considered that rural medical practitioners deputed for epidemic work took the view should be paid actual travelling allowance besides a fee for extra work — para 6 on p 2 of S.O. ~~monthly allowance~~. This view was also endorsed by the then D P H — p 30 of S.O. quoted above. ~~footnote letter dated 5-10-1928~~ General orders were not issued then — p 59 of (E) The Govt of India, in No. 1388 ~~letter~~ dated 28.6.1932, ~~that~~ the rate of allowance to be paid to r. m. ps for doing epidemic work was decided — Please see the notes on pp 55-63 of (V). From the discussions it will be seen that the question of payment of t. a for journeys beyond five miles was considered —

pp 17 of (A)

Clause (10) on p 3 of (A) pp 19 of (F)

No, in effect.

pp 1-2 of (F)

(F) I consider that "took the view" is by no means an improvement on "considered".

para 9. p 2 of (V)

3. The question at issue is whether rural medical practitioners should be paid actual travelling allowance for doing epidemic work within 5 ~~the~~ miles of head-quarters or ~~should their~~ a daily allowance shall be ~~prescribed as~~ as suggested by the D.P.H. At expenditure Rs. 3/- ~~which depriving them of travelling allowance~~.

~~Submitted for orders~~ on the payment of allowances

Cs 26.7.43 V.v.mps Cs borne by the ~~local body~~ Dt. Board concerned, the remarks of L.A may be obtained.

W 27-7-43 The DPH ~~says~~ means that the R. RMP does not get the 15 Rs p.m for doing p.h. work (this is a waste), he may be given

(a) 3 Rs per day for doing inoculation or epidemic work

(b) in addition to (a), travelling allowance for journeys beyond 5 miles.

Where the place is not beyond 5 miles, he gets only (a).

This particular case may be disposed of in accordance with that recommendation, which is agreed to by the SG.

WS 7/8/43

A draft order is submitted.

It is respectfully submitted that the petitioner, Sri Kanna has ~~himself~~ claimed only the actual expenses incurred by him on 29.10.1942 i.e. Rs 1/12/- whereas the amount proposed to be sanctioned (now) is Rs 3/-. As the disparity in the two amounts is much, it is for orders whether the ~~intention is that the~~ v.mps. should be allowed Rs 3/-.

Cs 3/8/43 ~~The draft order already submitted~~ He can be given Rs 1.120 WS

W 3-8-43 ~~modified~~ Cs 9.8.43 ~~No modification is necessary~~.

9.8.43.

Necessary alterations have been made in the draft order already submitted. The draft order is for approval.

M
-8-43

Yes.. not a good draft

WS
13.8.43

Edn. & P.H. Dept. (D1)

C.No.39392

From Sri M. Rangappa Kamath, Rural Medical Practitioner, Bajpe, South Kanara District, petition dated 22-11-43.

Medical Institutions - South Kanara district - Rural Medical Practitioner, Bajpe - Epidemic duty at Bala - Payment of ~~additional~~ remuneration.

Under clause (9) of the agreement, a rural medical practitioner deputed for inoculation work is eligible for a remuneration of six pies per case subject to a minimum of Re. 1/- a day. He is also eligible for travelling allowance for journeys, if any, performed beyond five miles of his headquarter The petitioner was allowed by the President, District Board, South Kanara, only the remuneration of Re. 1/- a day. He was not given the incidental expenditure of Rs 1-12-0 incurred by him on the to and fro journey. In G.O. No. 1931 P.H., dated 13th August 1943, the President was asked to allow the the practitioner the sum of Rs 1-12-0 referred to above. It is now reported by the practitioner that the President has allowed Rs 1-12-0 only and has refused ~~him~~ to sanction the remuneration of Re. 1/- normally due to him under the provisions of the agreement. The position may be explained to the President.

A draft memo is submitted. The file may be circulated to A-3 with reference to his note on page 1 c.f.

Cs
30/11/43
VK
1-12-43

A 3.

WP
3.12.43





OS
6/12